

The Contagion Field

How emotional states move between people, and what this means for clinical and relational work

Dr Steve Halls | Keystone Therapy | ERSA Institute

Emotions are contagious. Not metaphorically — neurologically. When you sit with a person who is frightened, your own nervous system registers threat. When you are held by someone who is calm, your physiology shifts toward calm. When a team’s leader is chronically anxious, that anxiety propagates through the organisation whether anyone names it or not.

This is not a psychological curiosity. It is one of the most clinically and organisationally significant facts about human beings, and it remains radically underappreciated in both therapeutic practice and institutional design.

The Contagion Field is the name we give to the emotional atmosphere generated by a system — a couple, a family, a workplace, a clinical relationship — through the continuous, largely unconscious transmission of affective states between its members. Understanding how that field is generated, maintained, and changed is foundational to both the ARCHR²™ clinical framework and the ERSA systems architecture.

The neuroscience of emotional transmission

The brain is not designed for solitary operation. It is a social organ, shaped by millions of years of evolution in conditions of close group living, and it is exquisitely attuned to the affective states of the people around it.

Several neurological systems are involved in emotional contagion, operating at different timescales and levels of conscious awareness.

Mechanism	How it works	Clinical significance
Mirror neuron systems	Neural circuits that activate both when we perform an action and when we observe another performing it. Extend to emotional states: observing fear, pain, or joy activates corresponding neural representations in the observer.	The basis of empathy. Also the mechanism by which a clinician’s own unprocessed material can be activated by client material — the neurological substrate of countertransference.
Autonomic co-regulation	The autonomic nervous systems of people in proximity influence each other continuously through	The therapeutic relationship is a co-regulatory relationship. The clinician’s regulated nervous

	voice prosody, facial expression, breathing rate, and micro-movement. Described by Porges as the 'social engagement system'.	system is not merely a backdrop to the work — it is one of the primary therapeutic mechanisms.
Limbic resonance	The limbic system — the brain's emotional processing centre — is open-loop: unlike the cardiovascular or digestive system, it requires input from other limbic systems to self-regulate. We literally need each other to feel.	Isolation is not emotionally neutral. Chronic disconnection from regulated others produces measurable deterioration in limbic function. Connection is physiological, not merely psychological.
Affective forecasting	We continuously model the emotional states of others, using those models to predict behaviour and calibrate our own responses. These models are largely implicit and operate below conscious awareness.	In high-conflict relational systems, affective forecasts become self-fulfilling: expecting hostility, we prime hostile responses in others. The forecast generates the data that confirms it.

The contagion field in relational systems

In any sustained relationship — couple, family, workplace team — the continuous exchange of affective states generates something that is more than the sum of individual emotional experiences. It generates a field: a shared emotional atmosphere that has its own properties, its own momentum, and its own capacity to shape the experience of everyone within it.

The emotional atmosphere of a system is not background noise. It is a primary determinant of what is possible within that system.

Couples therapists will recognise this immediately. There are couples whose relational field is organised around threat — where both partners are chronically activated, reading each other for signs of danger, and where the slightest misattunement triggers defensive cascades. The content of their arguments is almost irrelevant. The field itself is the problem.

There are also couples whose field is organised around safety — where the baseline assumption is goodwill, where repair is fast and natural, and where each partner's nervous system is genuinely soothed by the presence of the other. These couples can weather enormous external stress without losing relational coherence. The field sustains them.

The same dynamic operates in workplaces. Organisations with chronically dysregulated leadership generate contagion fields organised around threat: vigilance, self-protection, information hoarding, and the collapse of psychological safety. Organisations with genuinely regulated, attuned leadership generate fields organised

around safety: collaboration, risk-taking, the willingness to be wrong, the capacity to repair.

Positive and negative contagion

Emotional contagion is not inherently negative. The same mechanisms that propagate anxiety and threat also propagate calm, joy, and safety. The question is which emotional states are most present, most intense, and most consistently modelled within a system.

Several factors shape the directionality and intensity of contagion within a field:

Power asymmetry. Emotional states flow preferentially from high-power to low-power positions within a system. Leaders, parents, therapists, and teachers exercise disproportionate influence over the contagion field, whether or not they are aware of it. This is not a choice — it is a structural feature of power.

Intensity and frequency. High-intensity states — rage, terror, acute grief — propagate rapidly and strongly. Chronic low-intensity states — persistent anxiety, low-grade hostility, quiet despair — propagate less dramatically but more pervasively, shaping the baseline of the field over time.

Negativity bias. The nervous system is disproportionately attuned to threat signals. Negative emotional states are detected more rapidly, processed more deeply, and remembered more durably than positive ones. In practice, this means that negative contagion has a structural advantage: it takes less exposure to a hostile field to dysregulate a nervous system than it does to a regulated one to produce lasting calm.

Awareness and regulation capacity. Individuals with greater awareness of their own affective states and greater capacity for self-regulation are less susceptible to contagion and more capable of deliberately generating positive fields. This is one of the primary clinical reasons to prioritise the Awareness and Regulation domains of ARCHR²™ — they directly increase a person's field agency.

Clinical implications: working with the field

Understanding the contagion field reframes several central clinical questions.

The therapeutic relationship as field. Every clinical encounter generates a contagion field between clinician and client. The quality of that field — the degree of safety, attunement, and regulated presence the clinician brings — is not merely the context for the work. It is, neurologically, one of the primary mechanisms of change. A dysregulated clinician in a pressured institutional environment is not a neutral therapeutic instrument.

Couples work and field reorganisation. In the ARCHR²™ twenty-session couples protocol, one of the central therapeutic objectives is the reorganisation of the relational contagion field — from a field organised around threat and defensive activation to one organised around safety and genuine co-regulation. This is not achieved through communication skills alone. It requires the sustained experience of safety in the therapeutic relationship, the gradual expansion of each partner's Window of Tolerance, and the repeated co-creation of new relational experiences that begin to update the implicit models each partner holds of the other.

Organisational work and field design. The ERSA Institute's Healthy Settings Laboratory framework applies contagion field principles to organisational assessment and intervention. Mapping the emotional architecture of a workplace — identifying where contagion fields are dysregulating, where leadership is generating threat rather than safety, where chronic activation is driving the symptoms organisations present with — provides a basis for targeted systemic intervention that addresses causes rather than symptoms.

The field we carry

There is a personal dimension to this work that deserves naming. Every practitioner carries a contagion field of their own — shaped by their history, their current life circumstances, and the accumulated relational experiences of their clinical practice. Working with distress, trauma, and high-conflict systems is physiologically costly in ways that are only beginning to be adequately appreciated.

Compassion fatigue, vicarious trauma, and burnout are not failures of professional resilience. They are predictable consequences of sustained exposure to high-intensity contagion fields without adequate co-regulation, supervision, and recovery. The neuroscience is not metaphorical: the clinician's limbic system is genuinely affected by the material it processes.

We cannot give what we do not have. A practitioner whose own field is organised around depletion and threat cannot reliably generate fields of safety for the people they work with.

This is why the ERSA framework attends as carefully to the conditions of practitioner wellbeing as to clinical method. The quality of the field a clinician generates is inseparable from the quality of the environment in which they practise — and from the degree to which their own nervous system is resourced, regulated, and genuinely supported.

About this paper

This paper is part of the Relational Dislocation Series, produced by the ERSA Institute at The Syntropy Foundation. The series addresses the neurological, relational, and

clinical dimensions of disconnection and its treatment, drawing on the ARCHR²™ integrative framework, Polyvagal Theory, interpersonal neurobiology, and memory reconsolidation science. The contagion field framework is also foundational to the ERSA Institute's Healthy Settings Laboratory and organisational assessment work.

Dr Steve Halls

BSc Hons, PhD, Cert Neurosci., Dip Clin Hypn & Psychotherapy, CAISP

Clinical Psychologist & Behavioural Neurotherapist | Keystone Therapy, Byford WA